

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONE FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usolo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/307,187	05/07/1999	KENNETH M. FRIEDLAND	112764.200	4512
28089 7:	590 10/08/2004		EXAMINER	
WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP 399 PARK AVENUE			MEINECKE DIA	Z, SUSANNA M
NEW YORK, NY 10022		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,		•	3623	
			DATE MAILED: 10/08/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/307,187	FRIEDLAND ET AL.
Advisory Adular	Examiner	Art Unit
,	Susanna M. Diaz	3623

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 30 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	iy mea request for community
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
 a)	ng date of the final rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CF fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amounder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maintimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ount of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the positive 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) 🛮 they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c) \(\sum \) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by mate issues for appeal; and/or	erially reducing or simplifying the
(d) $oxed{\boxtimes}$ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.
NOTE: Applicant's proposed amendment alters the scope of the claims as well as a	adds new claims.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a second canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	eparate, timely filed amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been consapplication in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	idered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY traised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	to issues which were newly
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below	• ——
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8,10-23 and 27-83</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. Other:	SUSANNA M. DIAZ PRIMARY EXAMINER
	A.U.3623

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments center around the claims, as presented in the proposed amendment, which will not be entered at present; therefore, these arguments are moot. Furthermore, Applicant cites the Board decision Ex Parte Castonguay. Since this Board decision is neither precedent nor does it follow the fact pattern of the instant application, a discussion of the Board decision is not deemed relevant to the pending issues of record in the instant application. As such, Applicant's arguments regarding the Board decision are moot. Applicant also requests an interview; however, since the instant application is after-final and Applicant wishes to present arguments that (for the above stated reasons) are moot at present, the interview request is denied.